

Cereals - Performance Trials

Barley

Yield Data		Yield by Test Yield Category				
Variety	Low	Medium	High	Very High		Avg
						% of test mean
CDC Cowboy ▲	107*	92*	93*	93*		96.25
CDC Dolly	100	104	103	98		101.25
CDC Helgason ☞	99	99	102	104		101
CDC Trey ☞	101	99	100	100		100
CONLON ☞	91*	91*	95*	90*		91.75
McLeod ☞	105	105	104	105		104.75
Niobe ☞	101	99	103	101		101
Ponoka ☞	99	107	108	106		105
Rivers ☞ †	100	101	99	105		101.25
Seebe	100	103	101	97		100.25
XENA ☞	109	111	110	113		110.75
AC Harper ☞ †	99	101	105	101		101.50
AC Lacombe ☞ †	105	104	106	103		104.50
AC Ranger †	108	111	106	105		107.50
AC Rosser ☞ †	109	108	106	107		107.50
CDC YORKTON ☞	99	101	104	98		100.50
Manny ☞	105	106	106	112		107.25
Stander ☞ †	101	100	104	102		101.75
→ Sundre ▲	105*	113*	110*	117*		111.25
Trochu ☞	105	108	105	108		106.50

“...Harry Brook, Crop Specialist with AAFRD, says there are two ways of looking at cereal data - either by geographic area or by management style. While Brook says most producers probably look at the area tables, the test yield category can be useful as well.... “ (The above table) summarizes yield data based on the yield category (low, medium, high) of the test sites, regardless of their geographical location. This newer method will allow producers to select the best performing varieties under high yielding conditions. Also, varieties that have consistent performance in both low and high yielding conditions indicate yield stability and thus reduced risk... (Obviously, Sundre has yield stability!)

barley— continued

Other Characteristics

	Row Type	Awn Type	Mat. Days +/-	Te. Wt. lb/bu	Kn. Wt. g/1000	Ht. cm	Resistance to:						
							Ldg.	Loose Smut	Fl. & Cov. Smut	Com Rt. Rot	Scald	Net Blt.	Toler. FHB
							general purpose						
CDC Cowboy ▲	2	R	3	52	56	104	G	S	R	XX	S	I	G
CDC Dolly	2	R	0	53	49	75	F	S	R	I	I	S	F
CDC Helgason ☼	2	R	-1	52	46	76	G	R	R	I	S	I	P
CDC Trey ☼	2	R	-1	51	51	80	G	I	R	R	I	I	F
CONLON ☼	2	S	-1	52	53	82	G	XX	S	R	S	I	G
McLeod ☼	2	R	1	50	50	76	G	S	R	I	S	I	P
Niobe ☼	2	R	-1	50	46	76	G	I	R	I	I	I	P
Ponoka ☼	2	R	1	50	48	80	G	R	R	I	I	I	F
Rivers ☼†	2	R	-1	49	49	74	G	R	R	R	S	R	F
Seebe	2	R	4	52	50	87	G	S	R	S	R	S	G
XENA ☼	2	SS	1	52	50	79	G	S	I	R	S	S	G
AC Harper ☼†	6	SS	0	48	40	80	G	S	I	I	I	I	P
AC Lacombe ☼†	6	S	-1	48	42	85	G	S	R	S	I	I	VP
AC Ranger †	6	S	XX	49	43	75	F	XX	XX	XX	S	I	VP
AC Rosser ☼†	6	S	2	48	41	82	F	S	R	I	S	I	VP
CDC YORKTON ☼†	6	S	XX	48	38	85	G	S	R	R	S	I	P
Manny ☼	6	R	-1	47	40	87	G	I	R	S	R	I	P
Stander ☼†	6	SS	XX	51	40	84	G	S	S	I	S	S	VP
→ Sundre ▲	6	S	1	51	45	88	G	S	R	S	R	I	P
Trochu ☼	6	S	0	49	41	79	G	S	R	R	I	I	P
							semi-dwarf						
CDC Bold	2	R	0	53	48	73	VG	S	R	I	I	S	VP
Kasota †	6	R	-2	49	36	72	EX	S	R	I	R	I	VP
Mahigan †	6	SS	XX	50	35	73	EX	S	R	I	R	I	VP
Vivar ☼	6	R	0	49	44	74	VG	I	R	R	I	I	VP
							malting						
AC Metcalfe ☼	2	R	99	52	46	82	F	R	I	I	S	I	F
Calder ☼	2	R	-1	49	50	78	F	R	R	I	S	I	G
CDC Copeland ☼	2	R	1	50	48	83	F	S	I	I	S	I	F

** NOTE THE KERNEL WEIGHT AND BUSHEL WEIGHT OF SUNDRE BARLEY -- A 6 ROW BARLEY WITH A 2 ROW GRAIN QUALITY**

Reprinted from seed.ab.ca Alberta's Seed Guide winter 2007 pg 20